

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

**DEVOS LTD. d/b/a GUARANTEED
RETURNS, et al.**

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CRIMINAL ACTION

NO. 14-574

ORDER

AND NOW, this __24th__ day of January, 2017, upon consideration of Defendants’ Memorandum of Law in Support of Their Motions *in Limine* (“Motions”) (Doc. 179),¹ and Oral Argument on the Motions during the Pretrial Conference held on January 23, 2017, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND DECREED** that the Motions are **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN PART** as follows:

1. Defendants’ Motions are **DENIED** to the extent that they seek to “preclude the Government from offering evidence or argument that concealment money laundering took place prior to the distribution of funds from pharmaceutical manufacturers;” and
2. Defendants’ Motions are **GRANTED** to the extent that they seek to “preclude the Government from offering argument or testimony suggesting that the brother-sister relationship between Mr. Volkes and Ms. Fallon” is sufficient, standing alone, “to prove guilty knowledge or criminal intent.”

¹ The Court interprets Defendants’ Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motions *in Limine* as Defendants’ Motions *in Limine* as Defendants did not file separate Motions *in Limine* independent of their Memorandum of Law.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Petrese B. Tucker

Hon. Petrese B. Tucker, C.J.